	RECEIVED FEDERAL ELECTI COMMISSION		RECEIVED FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION
1	BEFORE THE FEDERAL ELECTION COMMISSION		
2	2011 MAY II P 4	: 35	2011 MAY 11 PM 3: 38
4 5	In the Matter of)	CELA
6	MUR 6369	j	DISMISSAL AND CASE
7	RANDY HULTGREN FOR CONGRESS)	CLOSURE UNDER THE
8	CLIFFORD A. BROWN,)	ENFORCEMENT PRIORITY
9	AS TREASURER)	SYSTEM
10	FRIENDS FOR HULTGREN)	
11	RANDY HULTGREN)	SENSITIVE
12			
13	GENERAL COUNSEL'S REPORT		
14			
15	Under the Enforcement Priority System ("EPS"), the Commission uses formal scoring		
16	criteria to allocate its resources and decide	which o	cases to pursue. These criteria include, but are

criteria to allocate its resources and decide which cases to pursue. These criteria include, but are not limited to, an assessment of (1) the gravity of the alleged violation, both with respect to the type of activity and the amount in violation, (2) the apparent impact the alleged violation may have had on the electoral process, (3) the legal complexity of issues raised in the case, (4) recent trends in potential violations of the Federal Election Campaign Act of 1971, as amended ("the Act"), and (5) development of the law with respect to certain subject matters. It is the Commission's policy that pursuing low-rated matters, compared to other higher-rated matters on the Enforcement docket, warrants the exercise of its prosecutorial discretion to dismiss certain cases. The Office of General Counsel has scored MUR 6369 as a low-rated matter and has also determined that it should not be referred to the Alternative Dispute Resolution Office. This Office therefore recommends that the Commission exercise its prosecutorial discretion to dismiss MUR 6369.

In this matter, the complainant, Catherine A. Hamilton, asserts that respondents Randy

In this matter, the complainant, Catherine A. Hamilton, asserts that respondents Randy

Hultgren for Congress and Clifford A. Brown, in his official capacity as treasurer ("Federal

Committee"), Friends for Hultgren ("State Committee"), and then-congressional candidate

10

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

20

21

- 1 Randy Hultgren¹ violated the Act because the State Committee made a \$1,000 contribution to the
- 2 Federal Committee on September 30, 2009, and again on January 21, 2010. The complaint
- 3 further alleges that the Federal Committee failed to report the receipt of the second contribution.
- 4 The complaint requests the Commission investigate the allegations, enjoin respondents from
- 5 further violations, and impose the maximum penalty against the respondents.

In its response, the Federal Committee acknowledges that it received contributions from

7 the State Committee, but explains that they were accepted in error and refunded to the State

Committee on September 3, 2010. The Federal Committee also acknowledges that it failed to

9 report the \$1,000 contribution it received on January 21, 2010 on its April 2010 Quarterly Report

due to a "clerical error."

In addressing the contributions by the State Committee, we observe that the Act prohibits a federal candidate, a candidate's agent, and entities established, financed, maintained or controlled by, or acting on behalf of, a candidate from soliciting, receiving, directing, transferring or spending funds in connection with a Federal election unless the funds are subject to the limitations, prohibitions, and reporting requirements of the Act. 2 U.S.C. § 441i(e)(1)(A). See also 11 C.F.R. § 110.3(d) (prohibiting transfers of funds or assets from a candidate's campaign committee for a non-federal election to his or her campaign committee for a federal election).

Here, the Federal Committee acknowledged that it received \$2,000 in contributions from the State Committee, but issued a refund on September 3, 2010. Illinois law permits candidate political committees to accept contributions up to \$5,000 from any individual; \$10,000 from any

Mr. Hultgren represents Illinois' 14th Congressional District.

11

12

13

14

15

16

17

18

19

1 corporation, labor organization, or association; or \$50,000 from a candidate political committee

2 or political action committee. See ILL. COMP. STAT. 9/8.5(b) (2011). Therefore, it appears the

3 Federal Committee violated the Act because it is possible that the State Committee's funds may

4 have been outside the limits, prohibitions, and reporting requirements of the Act.² See 2 U.S.C.

5 § 441i(e)(1)(A). See also 11 C.F.R. § 110.3(d). Likewise, in reviewing the Federal Committee's

6 April 2010 Quarterly Report and subsequent amendments, it also appears that the Federal

7 Committee violated the Act by failing to report the receipt of the January 21, 2010 contribution,

8 pursuant to 2 U.S.C. § 434(b). The Federal Committee admits its failure to report the

contribution from January 21, 2010, and it has reported the refund in its amended October 2010

10 Quarterly Report.

Thus, it appears that the Federal Committee violated the Act and 11 C.F.R. § 110.3(d) by accepting \$2,000 in prohibited contributions from the State Committee and by failing to report a contribution on its January 21, 2010. We note, however, the Federal Committee has admitted to the violations and has taken remedial measures by refunding the prohibited contributions to the State Committee and reporting the refund on its amended October 2010 Quarterly Report.

Accordingly, under EPS, the Office of General Counsel has scored MUR 6369 as a low-rated matter and therefore, in furtherance of the Commission's priorities as discussed above, the Office of General Counsel believes that the Commission should exercise its prosecutorial discretion and

20

dismiss this matter. See Heckler v. Chaney, 470 U.S. 821 (1985).

Compare 2 U.S.C. § 441b(a) (prohibiting corporations or labor organizations from making contributions in connection with any election) with ILL. COMP. STAT. 9/8.5(b) (2011) (allowing candidate political committees to receive contributions of up to \$10,000 from corporations, labor organizations, or associations).

RECOMMENDATIONS

2 The Office of General Counsel recommends that the Commission dismiss MUR 6369,

3 close the file, and approve the appropriate letters.

10 <u>5/6///</u> 11 Date Christopher Hughey Acting General Counsel

BY:

Gregory R. Baker

Special Counsel

Complaints Examination & Legal Administration

Jeff S. Jordan
Supervisory Attorney
Complaints Examination

& Legal Administration

Philip A. Olaya

Attorney